

-5-

REMARKS

Claims 1-5, 10, 11, and 13 are rejected. Claims 8, and 12 are allowed.


Claims 1-3, 10, 11 and 13 were rejected based upon Yokoyama et al.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to provide "a visible **display** device to allow a user to select." (emphasis added) This is to clarify that the visible device relates to graphical user interface devices appearing on the display, similar to the draggable window, as supported in the specification at page 4, lines 10-13, and not to a hardware input device, such as a remote. New dependent claim 14 was added for the special case where the visible display device is a draggable window. Yokoyama et al. fails to disclose any visible display device as contemplated by the present claims.

Dependent claims 2-5, 10, 11, 13, and 14 depend from amended independent claim 1, and are therefore also allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendment to place subject matter indicated by the Examiner as being allowable in independent form, allowance of claims 1 - 5, 8, and 10 - 14 is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Matthew D. Rabdau
Reg. No. 43,026
Attorney for Applicant

TEKTRONIX, INC.
P.O. Box 500 (50-LAW)
Beaverton, OR 97077
(503) 627-5068

6972 US